Memorandum MIAMIPADE

Alina T. Hudak

Date:

September 28, 2010

To:

George M. Burgess County Manager

Thru:

Miriam Singer, CPPQ

Director

Department of Procurement Management

From:

Melissa Adames, CPPB

Senior Procurement Contracting Officer

Chairperson, Review Team

Subject:

Report of Review Team for EPP-RFP752: Staff Scheduling Solution

The Review Team has completed the task of evaluating proposals submitted in response to the above referenced Request for Proposals (RFP) following the guidelines published in the solicitation as summarized below.

Review Team Meeting Dates:

September 3, 2010 - Kickoff Meeting

September 9, 2010 - Evaluation Meeting

September 15, 2010 – Technical Review Meeting

September 17, 2010 - Oral Presentations and Evaluation Meeting

September 21, 2010 - Evaluation Meeting and Final Scoring Session

Verification of compliance with contract measures:

The Review Committee recommended a Small Business Enterprise (SBE) selection factor for this solicitation. None of the Proposers who submitted responses are certified SBE firms.

Verification of compliance with minimum qualification requirements:

Five proposals were received in response to the RFP. The solicitation had minimum qualification requirements which were reviewed by the Chairperson and the County Attorney's Office. All proposals submitted were in compliance with the minimum qualification requirements and were responsive.

Summary of Scores:

The Review Team was tasked with evaluating, scoring, and ranking the five proposals submitted in reference to the RFP. Proposals were received from IntelliTime Systems Corporation, Novative Technology, Orion Communications, Principal Decision Systems International, and Visual Computer Solutions. After completion of a factual review of the proposals received, the Review Team conducted preliminary scoring.

Page 2
George M. Burgess
Report of Review Team for EPP-RFP752: Staff Scheduling Solution

Preliminary scores are as follows:

Proposer	Evaluation Score (Max. 700 pts)	Price Score (Max. 175 pts)	Total Combined Score (Max. 875 pts)	S	Price Submitted
IntelliTime Systems Corporation	410	75.5	485.5	\$	1,044,860
Novative Technology	340	76	416	\$	560,736
Orion Communications Principal Decision	480	102.5	582.5	\$	1,136,088
Principal Decision Systems International	544	132.5	676.5	\$	725,000
Visual Computer Solutions	283	55	338	\$	1,192,072

Based on the initial evaluation, the Review Team elected to hold oral presentations to view a demonstration of the proposed solutions and obtain clarification for submissions from the three highest ranked proposers: IntelliTime Systems Corporation, Orion Communications, and Principal Decision Systems International. Oral presentations were held on September 17, 2010. Following the presentations, an evaluation meeting was conducted with the Review Team to discuss the proposers remaining in consideration. A final evaluation meeting was held on September 21, 2010 and scoring was completed.

Final Scores are as follows:

Proposer	Evaluation Score (Max. 700 pts)	Price Score (Max. 175 pts)	Total Combined Score (Max. 875 pts)	S	Price Submitted
IntelliTime Systems Corporation	508	130	638	\$	1,044,860
Orion Communications	549.5	116.5	666	\$	1,136,088
Principal Decision Systems International	442	121	563	\$	725,000

Local Preference:

Local Preference was applied in accordance with the ordinance but did not affect the outcome.

Negotiations:

The Review Team recommends that the County enter into negotiations with Orion Communications the top ranked vendor.

Page 3
George M. Burgess
Report of Review Team for EPP-RFP752: Staff Scheduling Solution

The following individuals will participate in the negotiations:

Melissa Adames, Department of Procurement Management
Sheila Siddiqui, Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department
Sonya Nesbitt-Henderson, Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department
Richard Marquez, Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department
Motsa Dubois, Enterprise Technology Services Department

Justification for Recommendation:

The Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department (MDCR) solicited proposals for a turnkey, web based, commercial off-the-shelf Staff Scheduling Solution. The proposed Staff Scheduling Solution (Solution) will provide MDCR the ability to automate time collection, manage rosters, conduct shift bidding, automate vacancy filling in conjunction with audit controls, and enhance accountability and reporting. It is anticipated that the Solution will improve overtime management, conduct emergency staffing, send and receive work communications, share information with other County applications, produce management reports, and ensure equitable and consistent application of MDCR internal policies and procedures, collective bargaining agreements and County human resource policies. This solicitation is funded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) 2009 under the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant.

The Review Team recommends that the County enter into negotiations with Orion Communications, the top ranked vendor. The solution proposed by Orion Communications is capable of meeting all of the requirements outlined in the solicitation. The Review Team unanimously agrees that negotiations should be initiated with the selected vendor for the following reasons:

- Solution proposed provides outstanding software functionality that can be configured to the needs of the MDCR.
- Flexible, scalable Solution capable of performing the required functions to complete bidding, rostering, scheduling, leave requests, and report generation.
- Solution is web-based providing users the ability to access information from any web browser to view information, make changes, and approve requests in real time.
- Solution provides an overall easy way to track data with the user dashboard features providing for a snap shot view for all pending tasks in the system.
- Solution proposed is a mature product that has been in use since 2001 throughout various public entities.
- Solution provides an automated approach to labor intensive tasks reducing the risk of errors.
- Orion's approach, implementation, and training methodology for providing the required services will empower MDCR to have the required tools to manage and administer the system in house.

Page 4
George M. Burgess
Report of Review Team for EPP-RFP752: Staff Scheduling Solution

Copies of the Evaluation Results Memo, score sheets for each Review Team member, as well as the composite score sheets are attached.

Attachment

Approved


George M Burgess County Manager 10/20/2010 Date

Not Approved

George M. Burgess County Manager

Date





Evaluation Results Memo EPP-RFP752: Staff Scheduling Solution

The Review Team was tasked with rating and ranking the proposals received in regard to the Staff Scheduling Solution Request for Proposals solicited on behalf of the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department. The Review Team scoring was conclusive. The Review Team recommends that the County enter into negotiations with Orion Communications to top ranked vendor.

The Review Team unanimously agrees that the selected vendor should be awarded a contract as a result of:

- Solution proposed provides outstanding software functionality that can be configured to the needs of the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department (MDCR).
- Flexible, scalable Solution capable of performing the required functions to complete bidding, rostering, scheduling, leave requests, and report generation.
- Solution is web-based providing users the ability to access information from any web browser to view information, make changes, and approve requests in real time.
- Solution provides an overall easy way to track data with the user dashboard features providing for a snap shot view for all pending tasks in the System.
- Solution proposed is a mature product that has been in use since 2001 throughout various public entities.
- Solution provides an automated approach to labor intensive tasks reducing the risk of errors.
- Proposer's approach, implementation, and training methodology to providing the required services will empower MDCR to have the required tools to manage and administer the System in house.
- The Proposer expressed the willingness to work with Miami-Dade County to have a strategic relationship for this critical system.
- Orion's vast experience in the public sector industry make them qualified to provide the required Solution and services requested in the solicitation.

Afterla Suddigni Moch 9/22/10 Sheila Siddiqui, Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department

Richard Marquez, Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA Department of Procurement Management

Mando
Myriam Kovacs, Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department
Soup Honder- North
SonyoNesbitt-Henderson, Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department
Margaret Buslace
Margaret Brisbane, Enterprise Technology Services Department
Mulssal Holames
Melissa Adames DPM Chairperson

EPP-RFP NO. 752 STAFF SCHEDULING SOLUTION EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

COMPOSITE SCORES - FINAL

SELECTION PROPOSERS CRITERIA	IntelliTime Systems Corporation	n Orion Communications	Principal Decision Systems International (PDSI)
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation [Total Max. Points: 75 (15 pts per Review Team Member)]	58.00	58.00	50.00
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors [Total Max. Points: 75 (15 pts per Review Team Member)]	54.00	59.50	50.00
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of services requested in this Solicitation [Total Max. Points: 100 (20 pts per Review Team Member)]	69.00	77.00	\$6.00
Proposer's implementation and training plan [Total Max. Points: 100 (20 pts per Review Team Member)]	67.00	78.00	63.00
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation: A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Total Max. Points: 75 (15 pts per Review Team Member]] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs - [Total Max. Points: 125 (25 pts per Review Team Member)] C) Interfaces - [Total Max. Points: 75 (15 pts per Review Team Member)] D) Reports - [Total Max. Points: 75 (15 pts per Review Team Member)]	260.00	277.00	223.00
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Soluition and the overall best value to the County. Total Price Points - [Max. Points: 175 (35 pts per Review Team Member)]	130.00	116.50	121.00
TOTAL POINTS:	638.00	666.00	563.00
ocal Preference* (Highest ranked proposer's total points - 5% = Local Prefedence range)	N	N	N

Signature:


MUSIA Walls Print Name:

Musica Adul Khan

Adul Khan

Sept 22, 2010

Kanking			
Local Preference	ži.	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	
Is highest ranked local? Y / N	N	N	N 1
Is firm within 5% local? Y/N	N	N	N N
Is any firm within 5% of the highest ranked? Y/N	N	N	N N



SHEILA SIDDIQUI

PROPOSERS SELECTION CRITERIA	IntelliTime Systems Corporation	Orion Communications	Principal Decision Systems International (PDS)
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation [Max. Points: 15]	10	12	10
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors [Max. Points: 15]	11	/3	11
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of Services requested in this Solicitation [Max. Points: 20]	13	16	1/
Proposer's implementation and training plan (Mox. Points: 20]	12	16	13
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation: A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Max Points: 15] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs - [Max Points: 25] C) Interfaces - [Max Points: 15] D) Reports - [Max Points: 15]	// // //	13 20 13	8 17 10
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Soluition and the overall best value to the County. Fotal Price Points - [Max. Points: 35]	21	25	20
TOTAL POINTS:	117	141	110

SIGNATURE SIGNATURE

9-21-10 DATE

MARGARET BRISBANE

PROPOSERS SECECTION CRITERIA.	IntelliTime Systems Corporation	Orion Communications	Principal Decision Systems, International (PDSI)
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation (Max. Paints: 15)		10	12
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors [Max. Points: 15]		10	12
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of services requested in this Solicitation (Max. Points: 20)	10	1./	8
Proposer's implementation and training plan (Max. Points: 20)	10	15	12
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation:			
A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Max Paints: 15] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs - [Max Points: 25] C) Interfaces - [Max Points: 15] D) Reports - [Max Points: 15]	18. 20 10 10	12 15 12 8	10 13 12 10
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Soluition and the overall best value to the County.	F 1,044, 860	F 1,136,088	\$ 725,000
Total Price Points - [Max. Points: 35]	\$ 1,044, 860 +209,000 22 T+M	15	18
TOTAL POINTS:	122	108	1.06

SIGNATURE PROSPER

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MYRIAM KOVAC	telestage.	
PROPOSERS SELECTION CRITERIA	Intellitime Systems Corporation	Orion Communications	Principal Decision Systems International (PDSI)
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation (Max. Points: 15)	13	12	8
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors (Max. Points: 15)	10	13	8
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of services requested in this Solicitation (Max. Points: 20)	16	19	12
Proposer's implementation and training plan (Max. Points: 20)	18	17	15
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation: A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Max Points: 15] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs -	A - 12 B - 18 C - 12	A - 12 B - 20 C - 13	A-8 B-15 C-11
[Max Points: 25] C) Interfaces - [Max Points: 15] D) Reports - [Max Points: 15]	D-13	D-14	0-10
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Soluition and the overall best value to the County. Total Price Points - [Max. Points: 35]	30	20	25
TOTAL POINTS:	142	140	112

RICHARD MARQUEZ

PROPOSERS SEEECTION CRITERIA:	IntelliTime Systems Corporatio	n Orion Communications	Principal Decision Systems International (PDSI)
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation [Max. Points: 15]	12	14	10
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors [Max. Points: 15]	10	13.5	8
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of services requested in this Solicitation [Max. Paints: 20]	15	18	13
Proposer's implementation and training plan [Max. Points: 20]	15	18	12
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation:	A. 10 B. 12	A. 13.5 B. 20.5	8
A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Max Points: 15] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs - [Max Points: 25]			10
C) Interfaces - [Max Points: 15] D) Reports - [Max Points: 15]	C, 10	C. 13.5 D. 13.5	10
就说: ************************************	D. 10	D. 13. 3	10
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Soluition and the overall best value to the County. Total Price Points - [Max. Points: 35]	25	31.5	30
TOTAL POINTS:	119	158	

SIGNATURE SIGNATURE

9/21/18

DATE

Sonya Nesbitt-Henderson

PROPOSERS GRANDEL SALE DE LA CONTROL DE LA	Intellitime Systems Corporation	Orion Communications	Principal Decision Systems
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation (Max. Paints: 15)	12	10	10
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors (Max. Points: 15)	12	10	H
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of services requested in this Solicitation (Max. Points: 20)	15	13	12
Proposer's Implementation and training plan (Max. Points: 20)	12	12	11
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation: A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Max Points: 15] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs - [Max Points: 25] C) Interfaces - [Max Points: 15] D) Reports - [Max Points: 15]	13 20 10 12	\5 5 10 9	12 18 10 12
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Solution and the overall best value to the County. Total Price Points - [Max. Paints: 35]	32	25	28
TOTAL POINTS:	138	119	124

<u> </u>	
0 11 1 1	 2/ /
Sonya Honder - Nestell	 412116

EPP-RFP NO. 752 STAFF SCHEDULING SOLUTION **EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS**

COMPOSITE SCORES - PRE-LIMINARY

SELECTION PROPOSERS CRITERIA	IntelliTime Systems Corporation	Novative Technology	Orion Communications	Principal Decision Systems International (POSI)	Visual Computer Solutions Inc. (VCs)
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation [Total Max. Points: 75 (15 pts per Review Team Member)]	47.00	39.00	54.50	63.50	37.00
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors [Total Max. Points: 75 (15 pts per Review Team Member)]	43.00	38.00	52.50	63.50	33.00
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of services requested in this Solicitation [Total Max. Points: 100 (20 pts per Review Team Member)]	54.00	45.00	64.00	70.00	39.00
Proposer's implementation and training plan [Total Max. Points: 100 (20 pts per Review Team Member)]	59.00	49.00	69.00	74.00	35.00
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation: A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Total Max. Points: 75 (15 pts per Review Team Member)] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs - [Total Max. Points: 125 (25 pts per Review Team Member)] C) Interfaces - [Total Max. Points: 75 (15 pts per Review Team Member)] D) Reports - [Total Max. Points: 75 (15 pts per Review Team Member)]	207.00	169.00	240.00	273.00	139.00
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Soluition and the overall best value to the County. Total Price Points - [Max. Points: 175 (35 pts per Review Team Member)]	75.50	76.00	102.50	132.50	55.00
TOTAL POINTS:		416.00	582.50	676.50	338,00
Local Preference* (Highest ranked proposer's total points - 5% = Local Preference range)	N N	N	. N	N	N

Signature:

Multiple blance

Chairperson

Beth Goldsmith

Sept. 10, 2010 9/10/2010

Ranking						
Local Preference	S				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
is highest ranked local? Y / N	10	N	N	N	N	l N I
Is firm within 5% local? Y/N	1000	N	N	N N	N	N
Is any firm within 5% of the highest ranked? Y/N	33.00	N	N	N_	N	N

MARGARET BRISBANE

PROPOSERS SELECTION CRITERIA	Intellitime Systems Corporation	Novative Technology	Orion Communications	Principal Decision Systems International (PDS)	Visual Computer
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation [Max. Points: 15]	φ	5	12	/3	6
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors [Max. Paints: 15]	5	6	12	14	4.
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of services requested in this Solicitation (Max. Points: 20)	5	5	10	10	5
Proposer's implementation and training plan (Max. Points: 20)	5	5	12	15	4
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation:		(0)		14	5
A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Max Points: 15] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs - [Max Points: 25] C) Interfaces - [Max Points: 15] D) Reports - [Max Points: 15]	8 1053	10 10 55	12	20	5 5
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Soluition and the overall best value to the County.	#1,011,860	\$ 560, 736	\$ 715,175	# 725,000	#1,192,072
Total Price Points - [Max. Points: 35]	5	fō	27	30	5
TOTAL POINTS:	54	61	125	138	44

Margaret Brishare

9/9/2010

MYRIAM KOVACS

PROPOSERS, SELECTION CRITERIA	IntelliTime: Systems Corporation	Novative Technology	Orion «Communications	Principal Decision Systems International (PDS	Visual Computer
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation (Max. Points: 15)	13	5	10	12	5
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors (Max. Points: 15)	17	5	Ş	10	5
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of services requested in this Solicitation (Max. Points: 20)	15	4	12	15	5
Proposer's implementation and training plan (Max. Points: 20)	20	10	15	12	5
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation: A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Max Paints: 15] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs - [Max Paints: 25] C) Interfaces - [Max Paints: 15] D) Reports - [Max Paints: 15]	D 10 D 10		6 5 18 6 12 12 12	6000 6000 6000 6000	(h) 5 (b) 10 (c) 5 (c) 5
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Soluition and the overall best value to the County. Total Price Points - [Max. Points: 35]	95	15	10	18	5
TOTAL POINTS:	/35	74	102	109	50

SIGNATURE

9/1/10

RICHARD MARQUEZ

PROPOSERS SELECTION CRITERIA	Intellitime Systems Corporation	Novative Technology	Orion Communications	Principal Decision Systems International (PDSI)	Visual Computer Solutions Inc. (VCS)
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation [Max. Points: 15]	9	fo ·	10.5	13.5	9
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors [Max. Points: 15]	9	10	10.5	13.5	٩
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of services requested in this Solicitation [Max. Points: 20]	12	14	14	18	10
Proposer's implementation and training plan [Max. Points: 20]	12	14	14	18	10
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation: A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Max Points: 15] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs - [Max Points: 25] C) Interfaces - [Max Points: 15] D) Reports - [Max Points: 15]	A. 9 B. 15 C. 9	A. ? B. 12 C. 6 D. 5	A) 10·5 B) 17·5 c) 10·5 D) 10·5	A. 13.5 B. 22.5 C. 13.5 D. 13.5	A. 9 B. 15 C. 5 D. 5
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Solution and the overall best value to the County. Total Price Points - [Max. Points: 35]	17.5	15	17-5	31.5	15
TOTAL POINTS:	101.50	94	115-50		87.

Thehaul margnes

9 (9/10)
DATE

Sonya Nesbitt-Henderson

PROPOSERS SELECTION CRITERIA	IntellTime Systems Corporation	Novative Technology	Orion Communications	Principal Decision Systems International (PDSI)	Visual Computer Solutions Inc. (VCS)
Proposer's relevant experience, qualifications, capabilities and past performance in providing a solution similar to what is requested in this Solicitation (Max. Paints: 15)	9	10	10	12	10
Relevant experience and qualifications of key personnel including key personnel of subcontractors, that will be assigned to this project, and experience and qualifications of subcontractors (Max. Points: 15)	8	8'	10	13	8
Proposer's approach and methodology to provide the type of services requested in this Solicitation (Max. Points: 20)	10	9	13	12	16
Proposer's implementation and training plan (Max. Points: 20)	10	16	12	Is	
Solution Functionality: Proposer's approach to meet the functional and technical specification requirements described in this Solicitation, including as evaluation of how well it matches the Proposer's understanding of the County's needs described in this Solicitation: A) Solution's Ease of Use - [Max Points: 15] B) Solution's Capability to meet operational/business needs - [Max Points: 25] C) Interfaces - [Max Points: 15] D) Reports - [Max Points: 15]	2 10 3) 11 0) 10 0) 16	a) 10 a) 12 c) 10 d) 7	A 10 B) 15 C) 16 D) 9	b) 13 B) 30 19	b) & c & g 13
Proposer's proposed price will be evaluated based on the value of the proposed Soluition and the overall best value to the County. Total Price Points - [Max. Points: 35]	15	20	25	30	16
TOTAL POINTS:	99	96	114	/39	94

Soya Hordes-restell

9/9/10

SIGNATURE

DATE